The evaluation of clinical journal clubs in educational hospitals of kashan university of medical sciences based on national standards: lecturers versus residents viewpoints
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Abstract

Introduction: The Journal clubs are used as a standard teaching tool for the post graduates. However, the success of this method is related to how they are run and abilities of the organizers. The aim of this study was to compare the journal clubs of Kashan University of Medical Sciences with standards.

Materials and Methods: During a descriptive cross-sectional study, 117 (42 lecturers and 75 residents) of different specialties of Kashan University of Medical Sciences (KAUMS) were asked about journal clubs in their groups. The tool was a two-part questionnaire: first was included the demographic data of participants, and the second part 34 questions in 5-point Likert scale measuring triple fields of journal club, Preparation, Scheduling, and performance compared to standards.

Data analysis was performed using SPSS version 16 software. P<0.05 was considered significant.

Results: In total, the journal clubs are run favorably in clinical wards of KAUMS, based on lecturers and resident viewpoints. Regarding three domains of journal club holding, all participants believed that journal clubs were held regularly and there is no problem in scheduling, but the main problem were seen in performance. In this domain, the most important challenge was absence of methodologist in journal sessions to help for selecting articles and answering possible questions during discussions. No significant difference was found regarding lecturers and residents beliefs about journal clubs (P=0.22).

Conclusion: Despite the favorable running of journal clubs in accordance to standards in the university, appropriate intervention should be performed to reach ideal condition.
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Introduction

Generally, the journal clubs are used as an important part of medical education system for the post graduate training programs over the years [1]. Along with expanding the scope of medical sciences, physicians should obtain skills of acquisition, implementation, and evaluation of new knowledge. Journal clubs through familiarizing the post graduate students with research designs; medical statistics, clinical appraisal skills, and translating theory into practice have an important role in residency training programs, especially in lifelong learning [2,3]. Given the importance of this issue, Education Development Center (EDC) of Ministry of Health and Medical Education (MOHME) proceeded to provide of standards for clinical training including journal club standards [4]. To be effective, journal clubs must meet some certain known criteria such as having regular and anticipated meetings, clear objectives, appropriate meeting timing and incentives, a trained journal club leader to choose papers and lead discussion in right way, mandatory participating, using established critical appraisal processes and finally, summarizing journal club findings [5]. The provided standards by EDC of MOHME have included these mentioned features. However, despite these standards, the extent of implementation of them in academic hospitals is another challenge. To improve the quality of journal clubs, the first step is to investigate current situation to determine standards gap. Then by performing effective interventions and evaluation during a dynamic process, more successful journal clubs could be expected. The aim of this study was to compare the current situation of journal clubs in clinical wards of Kashan University of Medical Sciences with national approved standards from the university lecturer and residents' viewpoints in 2014.
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Methods

During a descriptive cross-sectional study and after obtaining ethical approval from local IRB, a self prepared questionnaire based on National standards for clinical journal clubs were distributed to 134 persons (46 lecturers and 88 residents) from different specialties (Internal medicine, Surgery, Gynecology, Anesthesiology, Infectious disease, and Pediatrics) of Kashan University of Medical Sciences (KAUMS). Through this questionnaire participants were asked about the journal clubs that were run in their groups. The tool had two parts: the first part was included demographic data of participants, and the second part was included 34 questions in 5-point Likert scale (always, often, sometimes, rarely or never that scored 5 to 1 respectively) measuring triple fields of journal club including Preparation, Scheduling, and performance compared to standards. Content validity and face validity of questionnaire were confirmed based on the experts opinion in the field of medical education and its reliability was assessed via test-retest approach. The correlation coefficients was 0.74 (P <0.001), Spearman-Brown reliability coefficient was 0.85 and the Cronbach's alpha value for internal consistency was calculated 0.86. For judging about the journal club implementation conformity with approved standards, desirability of each item was determined using the percentage of desirability that calculated as follows:

Desirability percentage = 100 × Mean score of each questionnaire item from 5

Then the desirability status criteria were determined as follows:

Desirability percent of 81-100: Ideal
Desirability percent of 61-80: Favorable
Desirability percent of 41-60: Moderately acceptable
Desirability percent of ≤ 40: Unacceptable

To assess conformity with the standards for each domain and finally, to check the conformity of total of three domains and deciding about the accordence of the journal clubs with standards the mentioned approach was used. All faculty members and residents of clinical groups with resident for all period of residency program (years 1 to 4) in 2014 were enrolled the study. Faculty members and residents of newly launched specialist groups were not enrolled the study. SPSS statistical software (Version 16) and T-Test was used to analyze the data.

Results

42 faculty members and 75 residents filled out the questionnaire (response rate of 91% and 85% for faculty members and residents, respectively). In terms of triple domains of journal club standards, and based on lecturer's viewpoints, desirability percentage for "Preparation", "Scheduling", and "Performance" domains shows favorable situation for preparation domain, ideal for scheduling and moderately acceptable for performance. In terms of "preparation", according to lecturers and residents viewpoints equipping the journal club venue with audio-visual equipments and Internet had obtained the highest score (88 and 82 respectively) and were evaluated "ideal". However, in the same domain seating arrangement in circular position or U-pattern was unacceptable (scores of 24 and 32 for lecturers and residents respectively). Nevertheless, the most important strength in performance domain based on either lecturer or residents viewpoints, was regular holding of journal clubs in due time and participating of residents in all sessions (Ideal), and the most important weakness was absence of epidemiologist or biostatistician in journal sessions (unacceptable). Generally, lecturers believed that journal clubs in KAUMS clinical wards were held favorably [Figure 1]. On the other hand, based on residents viewpoints, preparation and scheduling domains were favorable and performance was moderately acceptable and in general, they agreed with lecturers that journal clubs are held favorably [Figure 2]. There was no significant difference between lecturers and residents viewpoints regarding conformity of journal clubs with standards (P = 0.22) [Table 1].

Figure 1. Desirability percentage of different domains of journal club presentation based on KAUMS lecturers’ point of view, 2014.

Figure 2. Desirability percentage of different domains of journal club presentation based on KAUMS residents’ point of view, 2014.


Table 1. Statistical indices of journal club presentation in different domains based on KAUMS lecturers and residents’ point of view, 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Mean score (out of 5)</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Desirability percentage</th>
<th>Desirability status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preparation</td>
<td>Lecturer</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Favorable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Favorable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduling</td>
<td>Lecturer</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Ideal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Favorable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>Lecturer</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Moderately acceptable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Moderately acceptable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All triple domain</td>
<td>Lecturer</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Favorable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Favorable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The results of this study showed that in total, the journal clubs are run favorably in clinical wards of KAUMS, based on lecturers and resident viewpoints. Regarding three domains of journal club holding, all participants believed that journal club meetings were held regularly and there is no problem in scheduling, but the main problem were seen in performance.

Many studies have been focused on how to organize more effectively journal clubs in residency training programs [1,5-8]. In the present study the mean score for scheduling (timing) was the highest among the other scores. In other words, in KAUMS clinical wards, Journal clubs are held on time and regularly. Several studies have showed that journal clubs as a part of residency programs are run once a month [9-12]. Holding of journal clubs more than once a month can be difficult, especially if it is entails reviewing several articles in detail. In fact in our teaching hospitals, journal clubs are held at least twice a month (occasionally up to 4 monthly) and each session lasts approximately one hour. Some studies suggest the effectiveness of holding journal club weekly in some wards, like internal medicine and pediatric wards [3,13,14]. A serious weakness in our clinical journal programs is lack of epidemiologist or biostatistician in journal sessions. Regarding desirability score, based on lecturers and residents viewpoints, the score of this question for both faculty members and residents (22 and 28 respectively) was unacceptable. Providing access to epidemiologist or biostatistician to assist the journal club leader both in selecting appropriate articles and to answer questions that may arise during discussions is essential [3,5,15]. Several studies have shown that maximum participation of residents in journal clubs is an important factor in the success of the Journal Club objectives [3,9,10]. The residency teaching programs should emphasize on the importance of journal clubs and participation of residents should be mandatory and the only exception to this rule is where the residents have patients who need emergency care [13]. Fortunately,
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